

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,755	04/02/2004	Masao Takeshima	0505-1291PUS1	9963	
2292	7590 08/31/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			ENGLE, PATI	ENGLE, PATRICIA LYNN	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3612		

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding,

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/815,755	TAKESHIMA, MASAO	TAKESHIMA, MASAO	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patricia L. Engle	3612		

	Patricia L. Engle	3012	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follot places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of	•		
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). 	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			,
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal of the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a support of the Notice of Appeal of the Notice of Appe	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NC		because
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	••	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: the storage indent being indented lower the 41.33(a)).	ean the coupling arm is a new limite	ation. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	Patricia L Engle	Engy
		Drimany Evaminar	

Patricia L Engle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3612

8-26-05